

VU Formale Methoden der Informatik

Block 1: Computability and Complexity

Bernhard Urban

Matr.Nr.: 0725771

lewurm@gmail.com

May 21, 2011

Exercise 1: *Prove that the **HELLO-WORLD** problem is undecidable by providing a reduction from the **HALTING** problem.*

Let (Π_H, I_H) be an arbitrary instance of the **HALTING** problem, i.e. Π_H is a program that takes one string as input, and I_H is an input for Π_H .

From this, we construct an instance (Π, I) of **HELLO-WORLD** by setting $I = I_H$, and building Π from Π_H as follows:

```
String  $\Pi$ (String I) {  
    call  $\Pi_H(I)$ ;  
    return "Hello World";  
}
```

It remains to show that the following equivalence holds:

$$\Pi_H \text{ halts on } I_H \Leftrightarrow \Pi \text{ returns "Hello World" on } I.$$

- “ \Rightarrow ” Suppose Π_H halts on I_H . Due to the construction of Π , Π also halts on the input I_H and returns “Hello World” as output.
- “ \Leftarrow ” Suppose Π returns “Hello World” on I . Since running Π on I involves running Π_H on I , we have that Π_H halts on I .

Thus, the undecidability of the **HELLO-WORLD** problem follows from the reduction of the **HALTING** problem.

Exercise 2: Prove that the **HELLO-WORLD** problem is semi-decidable

In order to prove that the **HELLO-WORLD** problem is semi-decidable, we construct a procedure Π' which returns **true** for every arbitrary positive instance (Π, I) of the **HELLO-WORLD** problem. If (Π, I) is a negative instance, then Π' returns **false** or doesn't halt on I .

```
Bool  $\Pi'(I)$ 
    return  $\Pi(I) == \text{"Hello World"}$ ;
```

Therefore, the **HELLO-WORLD** problem is semi-decidable.

Exercise 3: Give a formal proof that the following problems are in NP.

k-COLORABILITY for any $k \geq 1$

To prove that **k-COLORABILITY** \in NP we have to define a polynomially balanced and polynomially decidable certificate relation for **k-COLORABILITY**. Simply let

$$R = \{(G, C) \mid G \text{ is valid } k\text{-colored graph under the color assignment } C\}$$

- R is a certificate relation by construction: G is a positive instance of **k-COLORABILITY** \Leftrightarrow there exists a color assignment C which makes G a valid graph $\Leftrightarrow (G, C) \in R$.
- R is polynomially balanced because each color assignment C for a graph G can be represented as an instance of $V(G)$ with linear size.
- R is polynomially decidable because a procedure to check a graph of the **k-COLORABILITY** problem, just needs to assign the colors to the vertices and compare each vertex color with the colors of its neighbours. Such an algorithm has an upper bound of $O(|V| + |E|)$.

NAESAT

$$R = \{(\rho, \nu) \mid \text{formula } \rho \text{ evaluates to } \mathbf{true} \text{ under the assignment } \nu, \text{ such that } \mathbf{not} \text{ all three literals in each clause of } \nu \text{ have the same truth value}\}$$

- R is a certificate relation by construction: ρ is a positive instance of the **NAESAT** problem \Leftrightarrow there exists an assignment ν which makes ρ evaluate to **true** $\Leftrightarrow (\rho, \nu) \in R$.
- R is polynomially balanced because each assignment ν for ρ can be represented as a subset of variables in ρ .
- R is polynomially decidable because evaluating the Boolean circuit $C(\rho)$ under μ takes only polynomial time and the additional check of the constraint by **NAESAT** is of constant time.

Exercise 4: Formally prove that **HAMILTON-CYCLE** is NP-complete for directed graphs. You may use the well-known fact that **HAMILTON-CYCLE** for undirected graphs is NP-complete.

Let an arbitrary instance with undirected **HAMILTON-CYCLE** be given by a graph $G = (V, E)$. Then $G' = (V', E')$ is an instance of the directed **HAMILTON-CYCLE** problem, defined as follows:

$$G' = \{(V', E') \mid V' = V, E' = \{(i, j), (j, i) \mid (i, j) \in E\}\}$$

Therefore, our reduction is:

G has a **HAMILTON-CYCLE** $\Leftrightarrow G'$ has a **HAMILTON-CYCLE**

- “ \Rightarrow ” Suppose that $G = (V, E)$ has a **HAMILTON-CYCLE** C . Then C must be a subset of $E \Rightarrow C \subseteq E$. This must also be true for E' , since for every undirected edge a directed one exists in G' by construction.
- “ \Leftarrow ” Suppose that $G' = (V', E')$ has a **HAMILTON-CYCLE** C' . Then C' must be a subset of $E' \Rightarrow C' \subseteq E'$. However, this must be also true for E , since every vertex in C' is visited only *once* ($V = V'$), i.e. there also exists a **HAMILTON-CYCLE** C' in G .

Hence, **HAMILTON-CYCLE** for directed graphs is also NP-complete.

Exercise 5: Prove the “ \Rightarrow ” direction of the correctness of the reduction, i.e. prove the following statement: if G is 3-colorable, then φ_G is satisfiable.

We have to show the following:

$G = (V, E)$ is 3-colorable $\Rightarrow \varphi_G$ is satisfiable under a truth assignment μ

- φ_1 : Let $i \in G$ be an arbitrary vertex and its corresponding clause $\varphi_1^i = (c_i^0 \vee c_i^1 \vee c_i^2)$. There exists at least one variable c_i^m for i which is set to true under μ and therefore φ_1^i is true for every $i \in G$, i.e. φ_1 evaluates to true.
- φ_2 : Let $i \in G$ be an arbitrary vertex and its corresponding clause $\varphi_2^i = (\neg c_i^0 \vee \neg c_i^1) \wedge (\neg c_i^0 \vee \neg c_i^2) \wedge (\neg c_i^1 \vee \neg c_i^2)$. We know that only one c_i^m can be true, since i is assigned exactly to one color. Thus φ_2^i evaluates to true for every $i \in G$, therefore φ_2 evaluates to true under μ too.
- φ_3 : Let $(a_i, a_j) \in E$ and its corresponding clause in $\varphi_3^{(a_i, a_j)} = (\neg c_i^0 \vee \neg c_j^0) \wedge (\neg c_i^1 \vee \neg c_j^1) \wedge (\neg c_i^2 \vee \neg c_j^2)$. We know that one c_x^m for each $x \in \{i, j\}$ must be true, while the following condition holds: $\forall i, j : c_i^m \neq c_j^m \mid m \in \{0, 1, 2\}$
Therefore, $\varphi_3^{a_i, a_j}$ evaluates to true, i.e. φ_3 evaluates to true too.

Thus, G is 3-colorable then φ_G is satisfiable, since $\varphi_G = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \wedge \varphi_3$,

Exercise 6: Prove the “ \Leftarrow ” direction of the correctness of the reduction in Exercise 5, i.e. prove the following statement: if φ_G is satisfiable, then G is 3-colorable.

We have to show the following:

φ_G is satisfiable under a truth assignment $\mu \Rightarrow G = (V, E)$ is 3-colorable

It remains to show that if φ_G is satisfiable:

- Suppose that φ_1 evaluates to **true**, then at least one c_i^m , for every Vertex $i \in V$, has to be **true**, i.e. every vertex has at least one color.
- Suppose that φ_2 evaluates to **true**, then two out of three c_i^m , for every Vertex $i \in V$, has to be **false**, i.e. every vertex has *exactly one* color.
- Suppose that φ_3 evaluates to **true**, then $c_i^m \neq c_j^m$ holds for every $(i, j) \in V$, i.e. every vertices i and j connected to each other have a different color.

Exercise 7: Provide a reduction from **FAIR-3-COLORING** to **SAT**.

We have already proven that the reduction from **3-COLORING** to **3-SAT**. In order to provide a reduction from **FAIR-3-COLORING** we add μ_4 to the conditions, which ensures that each color has been assigned at least once to a vertex. μ_4 is defined as follows:

$$\mu_F = \mu_G \wedge \mu_4 \quad \mu_4 = \bigwedge_{0 \leq k \leq 2} \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i^k$$

It remains to show that:

G is fair-3-colorable $\Leftrightarrow \varphi_F$ is satisfiable under a truth assignment μ

- “ \Rightarrow ”: φ_G has already been proven (a fair-3-color assignment is a 3-color assignment too)
- “ \Rightarrow ”: There exists by construction at least one vertex with each color. Therefore, one literal c_i^k in each clause must be **true**, i.e. φ_4 evaluates to **true** under μ too.
- “ \Leftarrow ”: φ_G has already been proven.
- “ \Leftarrow ”: Suppose that φ_4 evaluates to **true**, then at least one literal must be **true** for $0 \leq m \leq 2$ in μ . Therefore, each color is assigned at least once to a vertex in G .

Exercise 8: Give a problem reduction from **VERTEX COVER** to **CLIQUE**. Prove the correctness of the reduction.

From the lecture we know that for an arbitrary undirected Graph $G = (V, E)$ with $I \subseteq V$ and a complement graph $\overline{G} = (V, \overline{E})$, i.e. $[i, j] \in E \Leftrightarrow [i, j] \notin \overline{E}$:

I is an **INDEPENDENT SET** in $G \Leftrightarrow I$ is a **CLIQUE** in \overline{G}

holds. Furthermore we could say for the same graph G and subgraph I :

I is an **INDEPENDENT SET** in $G \Leftrightarrow N = V \setminus I$ is a **VERTEX COVER** in G

Ultimately, we can reduce **VERTEX COVER** to **CLIQUE** via **INDEPENDENT SET** and reduce it as follows:

$N = V \setminus I$ is a **VERTEX COVER** in $G \Leftrightarrow I$ is a **CLIQUE** in \overline{G}

Exercise 9: Provide a logarithmic space algorithm for solving **SELECT-3RD**. Argue why it uses only logarithmic space.

```
Bool SELECT-3RD(n, L) {
  Bool foundN = False;
  Int i = 0;
  ListElem p = L.first();

  while ((i < 3) && (L.last() != p)) {
    if (p.value() == n) {
      foundN = True;
    } else if (p.value() > n) {
      i++;
    }
    p = p.next();
  }
  return (foundN && (i == 2));
}
```

The algorithm uses a reference, a counter and a flag to compute the result for any given List, i.e. for any given instance of the **SELECT-3RD** problem, therefore

$$\log |L| + d$$

while d is the space used by the additional variables (d is constant). Thus, the algorithm uses logarithmic space.

Exercise 10: Let $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid |w| \text{ is even}\}$, i.e. L is the set of all strings w such that (a) w is built using symbols 0 and 1, and (b) w is of even length. Define a Turing machine M that decides L . Additionally, provide a high-level description of M .

Let $M = (K, \Sigma, \delta, \text{even})$ with $K = \{\text{even}, \text{odd}\}$, $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \sqcup, \triangleright\}$ and a transition function δ defined as follows in the table. The Turing machine M consists of two states:

even By reaching the end of the input string \sqcup in this state, we output “Yes”. Otherwise, if we get a “0” or “1” we make a transition to **odd** and move the pointer to the next element of the input string. Also, this is the initial state, because an empty string is by definition **even**.

odd Quite similar as **even**, we output “No” on \sqcup and otherwise (i.e. on “0” and “1”) we make a transition to **even** and move the pointer to the next element of the input string.

$p \in k$	$\sigma \in \Sigma$	$\delta(p, \sigma)$
even	\triangleright	(even, \triangleright , \rightarrow)
even	\sqcup	(“Yes”, \sqcup , $-$)
even	0	(odd, 0, \rightarrow)
even	1	(odd, 1, \rightarrow)
odd	\sqcup	(“No”, \sqcup , $-$)
odd	0	(even, 0, \rightarrow)
odd	1	(even, 1, \rightarrow)